



134

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-2822-2025

Date of decision : 21.03.2025

Gurcharan Singh and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Nirmal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners which is at the eminent danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6 and other relatives, by restraining them from interfering in their personal, peaceful life and liberty as both petitioners are inter-caste and both petitioners decided to live-in-relationship till petitioner No.1 attains the age of 21 years. Further prayer has been made for directing respondents No.2 and 3 not to interfere in the liberty and peaceful lives of the petitioners by harassing them in any manner at the instance of any complaint or FIR.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioner No.1 is major but not of marriageable age and petitioner No.2 is major. Both are living in live-in-relationship and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from the private

**CRWP-2822-2025****-2-**

respondents. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 19.03.2025 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2.

3. Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 3-State only.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Kirpal Singh Thakur, A.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.-2 i.e. Superintendent of Police, District Kurukshetra to decide the representation dated 19.03.2025 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.
6. Disposed of.

21.03.2025*ps-I*

**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No