



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(210)

RSA-818-2000 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-22.09.2025

GURBACHAN SINGH SIDHU ... Appellant
Versus
SURINDER KAUR AND OTHERS ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present:- Ms. Manveen Kahlon, Advocate,
for the appellant.

None for the respondents.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the appellant submits that she has no instructions on behalf of the appellant. She has further submitted that she has addressed a letter to the appellant but the same has been received back un-served for want of correct address. This is an appeal pertaining to the year 2000 and even respondents could not be served for want of correct address. It seems that parties are not interested in pursuing the present appeal.

2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the appeal on the docket, indefinitely.

3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.

4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands disposed of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

22.09.2025
S. Pathania

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No