



ARB-196-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

249

ARB-196-2025

Date of Decision: 21.08.2025

Raghav Gupta

...Applicant

Versus

VSR Infrastech Private Limited and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate
for Mr. Dinesh Trehan, Advocate for the applicant
Mr. Jagdeep Yadav, Advocate for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Through instant application under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short '**1996 Act**'), the applicant is seeking appointment of an Arbitrator.
2. The parties entered into Memorandum of Understanding dated 19.06.2015. A dispute erupted between the parties. The applicant served notice upon the respondent seeking resolution of dispute through Arbitral Tribunal but to no avail.
3. Reply filed by the respondents is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.
4. Learned counsel for the respondents expressed his inability to controvert execution of Memorandum of Understanding and service of notice. She, however, submits that the Arbitrator may be appointed in Gurugram.



5. Conditions to invoke power conferred by Section 11(6) of 1996 Act stand satisfied, thus, I hereby appoint a Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties.
6. Mr. Subhash Goyal, District & Sessions Judge (Retd.), residing at House no. 4254-A, Sector 23-A, Gurugram, Mobile No. 8813888900 is hereby appointed as a Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties, subject to compliance of statutory requirements. The learned Arbitrator is requested to comply with mandate of Section 12 of 1996 Act before proceeding further.
7. The parties at the first instance will appear before the Arbitrator on 03.09.2025 at 10:00 AM and thereafter, as directed by learned Arbitrator.
8. The Arbitrator shall be paid fee in accordance with the Fourth Schedule of the 1996 Act, as amended.
9. The Arbitrator is requested to complete the proceedings as per time limit specified under Section 29-A of the 1996 Act.
10. Needless to mention, parties would be at liberty to raise all the claims/defences/counter claims/pleas before the Arbitrator. Any observation made hereinabove will not be binding on the learned Arbitrator.
11. A request letter along with copy of this order be sent to Mr. Subhash Goyal.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

21.08.2025
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No