



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.209-2

RSA-616-2000 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 27.10.2025

NAIB RAJ

...Appellant

Versus

RAMESH KUMAR

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANDEEP PANNU

Present:- None for the appellant.

Ms. Ekta Thakur, Advocate and  
Ms. Shikha, Advocate  
for the respondent.

\*\*\*\*\*

MANDEEP PANNU, J. (Oral)

There is no representation on behalf of the appellant despite due intimation.

Even on the last date of hearing, none had appeared for the appellant. The position remains the same today.

It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the present petition. Accordingly, the same is dismissed for want of prosecution.

All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

27.10.2025  
Anu

(MANDEEP PANNU)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No