

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:152154



(224)

**RSA-2248-1999 (O&M)**

Date of Decision:-03.11.2025

NARINDER SINGH

... Appellant

Versus

KARNAIL SINGH AND OTHERS

... Respondents

-.-

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Manjul Sood, Advocate,  
for the appellant.

None for the respondents.

\*\*\*\*

**VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J.** (Oral)

1. Counsel for the appellant submits that he is not in touch with the appellant. He has sent a communication to the appellant to which, no response has been received till today, meaning thereby, appellant is not interested in pursuing the instant appeal.

2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.

3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.

4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

3rd November, 2025

*S. Pathania*

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?

*Whether reportable?*

Yes / No

*Yes / No*