

203 (1st case)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-10071-2021(O&M)
Date of decision : 09.12.2025**

Rimpal and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Preet Agroa, Advocate and
Mr. Abhishek Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.


Ms. Neha Sonawane, DAG, Punjab.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners submits that the only grievance which survives in the present writ petition now is in regard to holding of Punjab State Teacher Eligibility Test (for short PSTET) on regular basis and correctness of revised answer keys.

2. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners submits that in regard to correctness of revised answer keys is concerned, he does not want to press the abovesaid issue in light of the judgment passed by this Court in ***CWP No.7518 of 2020 titled Maninder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others, decided on 27.04.2023.***

3. In regard to holding of PSTET examination by the State on regular basis is concerned, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he will be satisfied if a direction is given to the respondents to take into consideration the observations made by Co-



ordinate Bench of this Court in **CWP No.19652 of 2012** titled as ***Parminder Pal and others Vs. State of Punjab and others, decided on 19.07.2013.*** for the purpose of holding PSTET Examination.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents does not oppose the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. In case the petitioners file a representation to the respondents in regard to holding of PSTET Examination, the respondents are directed to consider the same in the light of observations made by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in ***Parminder Pal and others' case*** (supra), within a period of 03 months from the date of submission of such representation by the petitioners.

6. Learned Senior Counsel further submits that in regard to claim of petitioners for consideration for appointment on the post of Masters/Mistresses in pursuance to the advertisement dated 06.04.2021 (Annexure P-7) is concerned, as there was no interim order passed by this Court and the selection process is already over, he does not want to press the abovesaid claim.

7. Taking into consideration the abovesaid facts, the present writ petition is disposed of in light of the aforesaid observations.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand(s) disposed of.

09.12.2025

d.gulati

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No