



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(210) RSA No. 2825 of 1998 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 18.11.2025

Hari Singh ...Appellant

Versus

Parkash Singh ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. S.K. Raghuvanshi, Advocate
for the respondent.

Amarinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

1. The present regular second appeal pertains to the year 1998. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that counsel who was representing the respondent, has since expired. However, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant. On the last date of hearing also, the appellant remained unrepresented.
2. As per the office report, notice issued to sole appellant has been received back unserved due to incomplete address and notice issued to the counsel for the appellant has been received back with the report that the counsel has left the practice. Even counsel for the respondent has also informed this Court that the earlier counsel for the respondent has expired.
3. In light of the above, the present appeal stands dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to the appellant to seek its revival, in case, any cogent cause of action survives.
4. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

November 18, 2025
kanchan

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No