

2025:PHHC:052250



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

314

**CRM-M-15761-2025 (O&M)**  
**Date of decision: 24.04.2024**

Mamta Kumari and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**

**Present:** Mr. G.S. Nehra, Advocate  
for Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rahul Sangwan, Advocate  
for Mr. Aman Yadav, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

**HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)**

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking quashing of FIR No.118 dated 16.04.2023 under Sections 323/324/34 of IPC (Sections 201/326 of IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Khol, District Rewari, and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 07.03.2025.

2. The following order was passed on 24.03.2025:-

“XX                      XX                      XX                      XX

*Notice of motion for 24.04.2025.*

*At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta  
Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of*

2025:PHHC:052250



*respondent No.1-State and Mr. Aman Yadav, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files his vakalatnama and admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.*

*Service is complete.*

*In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.*

*A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”*

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63*** and Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052***, this petition is allowed and FIR No.118 dated

2025:PHHC:052250



16.04.2023 under Sections 323/324/34 of IPC (Sections 201/326 of IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Khol, District Rewari and all other subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)  
JUDGE

24.04.2025  
*yakub*

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable:        | Yes/No |