



223

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15757-2025
Date of Decision:-08.04.2025

JASPREET SINGH ALIAS HONEY WALIA
... PETITIONER
Versus
STATE OF PUNJAB
... RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Davinder, Advocate for
Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J (ORAL)

Learned State counsel has filed reply by way of affidavit dated 07.04.2025 of Deputy Superintendent of Police, City-1, Bathinda, the same is taken on record, copy thereof, has been supplied to the counsel opposite

2. By way of the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (BNSS), the petitioner is praying for anticipatory bail in the following FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:-

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
01	01.01.2025	126(2), 115(2), 118, 304, 351(2), 351(3), 3(5) BNS	Kotwali Bathinda, District Bathinda

3. Argument heard.



4. It is, *inter alia* contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He contends that no specific overt act is attributed to the petitioner nor has he any concern with the allegations levelled in the FIR, hence prayed for grant of bail.

5. *Per contra*, learned State counsel referring to the reply submitted by the State has strongly assailed these arguments by contending that petitioner happens to be the main accused in the occurrence who has been named in the FIR itself and there are specific allegations against him of having snatched ₹8100/- from the complainant after giving him injury with Sickle. He contends that petitioner has 9 more criminal cases registered against him and is habitual offender and his custodial interrogation is required for effecting recovery. Hence prayed for dismissal of the bail petition.

6. After considering the arguments and perusing the record, it transpires that the instant FIR was registered by the Gagandeep Singh complainant on the allegations that on the fateful day at about 08:15 pm while the complainant was returning to his house after selling fruits on his Rehri, four persons waylaid him including the petitioner Jaspreet Singh alias Honey Walia and Gurpreet Singh @ PK who were earlier known to him. The petitioner along with said Gurpreet Singh @ PK both armed with iron datar while other accused were having sticks in their hands and they threatened him to handover cash to them and when he opposed, the petitioner gave datar blow towards the head of the complainant which hit on



his hand and they snatched the cash from him and ran away from the spot. A bare perusal of record would reveal that specific allegations against the petitioner of having given sickle blow to the complainant and having snatched ₹8100/- from the complainant. The petitioner has already having 9 more criminal cases registered against him. Custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required to effect the recovery, therefore, considering the serious nature and gravity of offence, it is observed that no case is made out in favour of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail in the present petition, the same is hereby dismissed.

7. Any observation made above shall not be construed as opinion of this Court on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

08.04.2025

Gyan

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No