



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

205 RSA-2100-1999 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.08.2025

Banarsi Dass ...Appellant
Versus

Baljit Singh and others ...Respondents
RSA-3310-1999 (O&M)

Banarsi Dass ...Appellant
Versus

Gurjant Singh and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr.Kashmir Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Lalit Sharma, Advocate, for the appellant,
in both cases.

Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate, for respondents No.1 to 5
(in RSA-2100-1999) and for respondents No.1 to 3
(in RSA-3310-1999).

Respondents No.6 and 7 (in RSA-2100-1999) and
respondents No.4 and 5 (in RSA-3310-1999) need not
to serve vide order dated 30.04.2001.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. As per office report, notice issued to the appellant has been received back unserved for want of correct/complete address. Learned counsel for the appellant also submits that his client is not in contact with him.
2. In view of the above, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution, with liberty reserved to the appellant (in both cases) to seek revival of the same at any stage in accordance with law.
3. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

August 21, 2025
anil

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No