



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

212

RSA-4573-2000
Date of Decision:28.01.2025

JAG RAM ...APPELLANT

VERSUS

NEMI CHAND ...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Advocate for the appellant.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Counsel for the appellant submits that he received telephonic instructions that the dispute has been amicably settled, but he does not have any written instructions.
2. It seems that as the matter has been settled, appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal.
3. Appeal is dismissed for default in prosecution. However, liberty is granted to appellant to seek revival of the appeal, if anything worthwhile survives for consideration.

28.01.2025
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No