



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(215)

RSA-1922-1999 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-11.08.2025

SMT.LEELA WATI ... Appellant
Versus
PRITAM DASS ... Respondent

-. -

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present:- None for the appellant.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. No one is appearing on behalf of the appellant since long. As per report of Registry, notice issued to the appellant has been received back unserved with the report that she is residing at Delhi but address of Delhi is not available with the Court. In such circumstances no effort can be made to secure presence of the appellant. There is no other option before this Court except to dismiss for want of prosecution.
2. In view of the above and given the prevailing circumstances, there seems to be no justification to keep the appeal on the docket, indefinitely. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellants to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.
3. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stands also disposed of.

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

11.08.2025
Gaurav Sorot

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No