



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

213 RSA-1833-1999 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 20.11.2025

Jumrat and othersAppellants
VERSUS

The Punjab Wakf Board ...Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the appellants.
Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate, for the respondent.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL,J. (ORAL)

1. Perusal of the case file reveals that on the previous date of hearing, Mr. Kanish Jindal, Advocate, had appeared on behalf of the appellants and submitted that he was not in touch with the clients and accordingly, notice were issued to the appellants.
2. As reported by the Registry, the appellants No.1, 2 and 4 have expired; and appellant No.3 was to be served through guardian, who is also stated to have expired. Though the appellant No.5 is served but there is no representation on her behalf.
3. In view of the above and considering the fact that the present appeal pertains to the year 1999, no purpose would be served by keeping the appeal pending. Hence, present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty to the legal representatives of the appellants to seek revival of the same, if they so desire.
4. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

20.11.2025 (AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
anil JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No