



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

213 RSA-1832-1999 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 20.11.2025

HanshuAppellant
VERSUS

The Punjab Wakf Board ...Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the appellant.
Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate, for the respondent.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL,J. (ORAL)

1. Perusal of the case file reveals that on the previous date of hearing, Mr. Kanish Jindal, Advocate, had appeared on behalf of the appellant and submitted that he was not in touch with the client and accordingly, notice was issued to the appellant.
2. As reported by the Registry, the sole appellant has expired; and no one has put in appearance on his behalf to argue the matter.
3. In view of the above and considering the fact that the present appeal pertains to the year 1999, no purpose would be served by keeping the appeal pending. Hence, present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty to the legal representatives of the appellant to seek revival of the same, if they so desire.
4. Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

20.11.2025 (AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
anil JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No