



109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-2754-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision : 21.03.2025**

Gunjan and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Virender Kumar Dhiman, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tapan Masta, Additional Public Prosecutor, U.T.
Chandigarh for respondent No.1 assisted by
Assistant Sub Inspector Pawan Kumar.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution praying for issuance of directions to the official respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 8.

2. Although there is no formal notice of motion, but learned counsel for Union Territory, Chandigarh, on instructions, submits that statements of both the petitioners were recorded by Assistant Sub Inspector Pawan Kumar and copy of the same are produced on record and marked as 'X (colly.)'.

3. Perusal of the aforesaid statements reveals that none of the petitioners is residing within Union Territory, Chandigarh.



4. Faced with the above predicament, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that petition be disposed off as not pressed, “at this stage”.
5. Ordered accordingly.
6. It is clarified that above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case, in any manner.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

21.03.2025

d.gulati

Whether speaking / reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Yes	No
Yes	No