



RSA-2429-1998

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(202)

RSA-2429-1998

Date of decision: - 23.05.2025

State of Haryana and another

....Appellants

Versus

Jagpal Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for the appellants.

None for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 23.05.2024, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court was
pleased to pass the following order: -

*“Present: Mr. Aman Bahri, Addl. A.G., Haryana,
for the appellants.*

*Mr. Ramesh Hooda, Advocate
for the respondent.*

*Status report by way of an affidavit of Deputy Commissioner,
Rohtak, has been filed on behalf of the appellants, which is taken on
record.*

*By referring to the status report, State counsel submits that
pursuant to the order passed by this Court, the Deputy Commissioner,
Rohtak, has passed order dated 07.07.1999, Annexure A-1, and has
come to the conclusion that the services of the plaintiff-respondent
cannot be regularized.*

Affidavit filed by the State is silent as to whether the plaintiff-



RSA-2429-1998

-2-

respondent is continuing in service or his services were discontinued on the passing of the order, Annexure A-1.

Let a specific affidavit be filed to this effect.

List on 14.08.2024.

23.05.2024”

2. Learned counsel for the appellants-State has filed an affidavit dated 07.08.2024, which is taken on record and has been marked as 'Mark A'. Para 7 of the said affidavit reads as under: -

“7. That in compliance of order dated 23-05-2024, it is submitted that the service of appellant Jagpal Singh were terminated on 23-12-1997 after dismissal of his civil suit by the Court of Civil Judge (Sr. Division), Rohtak and thereafter the appellant has not remained in service. The appellant was not in service even at the time of passing of order Annexure A-1.”

3. None has appeared on behalf of the respondent to rebut the said aspect.

4. Learned counsel for the appellants-State has submitted that in view of the above, the present appeal be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

5. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

May 23, 2025
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No