



213-2 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15411-2025
Date of decision: 28.03.2025

Satnam Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Vikrant K. Vij, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.285 dated 12.11.2024 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 115(2)/118(1)/332(2)/351(2)/191(3)/190 of BNS registered at Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar Rural.

On 20.03.2025, the following order was passed:-

'Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS, 2023'), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.285 dated 12.11.2024, registered under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 333(2), 351(2), 191(3), 190 of BNS, 2023, at Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar (Rural).

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the similarly situated co-accused of the petitioner namely Harvinder Singh @ Baba Hari Singh, has already been granted the concession of ad interim anticipatory bail by this Court on 28.02.2025 passed in CRM-M No.11458 of 2025. He submits that the case of the petitioner is at par with the aforementioned co-accused as the petitioner is also alleged to have caused a simple injury. In fact, the complainant had a fight with cousins of the petitioner and all the male members of his family have been deliberately entangled to wreak vengeance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner lastly submits that the maximum sentence provided for the offences, under which the FIR (supra) is registered, is punishable upto 07 years and the petitioner is having clean antecedents and is not involved in any other case.

Notice of motion for 28.03.2025.

*In the meantime, keeping in view the law enunciated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Satender Kumar Antil Vs. CBI (2022) 10 SCC 51; Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre Vs. State of Maharashtra and others 2010 SCC OnLine SC 137; Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia etc.***



Vs. State of Punjab (1980) 2 SCC 565, Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar (2014) 8 SCC 273 and Sushila Aggarwal Vs. State of NCT Delhi 2020 (1) RCR (Criminal) 833, the petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer within 05 days from today and on doing so or in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing of bail/surety bond to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall cooperate with the Investigating/Arresting Officer and abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

If the Investigating/Arresting Officer does not permit the petitioner to join the investigation, the petitioner would appear before the Illaqa Magistrate, who would then summon the Investigating/Arresting Officer and direct him to join the petitioner in investigation, in terms of the order of this Court.

Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as expression of opinion of this Court on merits of the case and the trial Court shall proceed without being prejudiced by observations of this Court.

To be heard along with CRM-M No.11458 of 2025, on the date fixed. '

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jaswinder Singh Singh, at the very outset informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 20.03.2025 is hereby made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

The petition stands disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

28.03.2025

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No