

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025.PHHC.152804



(206.2)

RSA-1545-1999 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-06.11.2025

MAHENDER KUMAR

... Appellant

Versus

GULZARI AND OTHERS

... Respondents

-:-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Naveen S. Bhardwaj, Advocate, with
Mr. Lokendra Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. As per the office report, notices were issued to the appellant received back duly served through his son but no one has put in appearance on his behalf, so, it seems that no one is ready in pursuing the instant appeal.
2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

6th November, 2025

S. Pathania

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No