

2025:PHHC:040211



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-2921-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision : 25.03.2025**

Jasvir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. Gursimaran Singh Madaan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for issuance of direction to official respondents for protecting the life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of the private respondents.

2. At the very outset, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who has advance notice of the petition and is ready to argue the matter, has submitted that the matter relates to a civil dispute between the petitioner and the private respondents. The petitioner had filed an application under Order 39 Rule 2 CPC, whereby *status quo* has been ordered to be maintained by the parties. The petitioner is alleging that the private respondents are not complying with the *status quo* order and are interfering in his peaceful possession over the land in dispute. However, during inquiry, no threat perception has been found to the life and liberty of the petitioner at the instance of the private respondents. It is also submitted that the petitioner has alternative remedy for redressal of his

2025:PHHC:040211



grievance with regard to non-compliance of status quo order by the private respondents and the present is not maintainable.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, after advancing arguments for some time, has submitted that the present petition may be disposed of with liberty to petitioner to avail alternative remedies in accordance with law.

4. Ordered accordingly.

25.03.2025
Waseem Ansari

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No