

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025.PHHC:155410



(216)

RSA-1245-1999 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-11.11.2025

TEK CHAND

... Appellant

Versus

KHEM CHAND AND ANOTHER

... Respondents

:-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Tushar Gera, Advocate, for
Mr. Naveen S. Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for respondents No.1 and 2.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. No one has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant. Same was the situation for quite some time. Order dated 12.09.2025 has also not complied with, so it seems that no one is ready to pursue the instant appeal.
2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

11th November, 2025

S. Pathania

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No