



RSA-3822-2000

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(203)

RSA-3822-2000

Date of Decision: - 13.11.2025

Punjab State Electricity Board, Patiala

....Appellant

Versus

Shanti Sarup Sharma and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Ms. Karina Kaur, Advocate
for Ms. Avin Kaur Sandhu, Advocate,
for the appellant.

None for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 05.08.2003, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court was
pleased to pass the following order: -

*“Present: Mr. Sukhbir Singh, Advocate
Mr. S.K. Saini, Advocate*

. . .

*Counsel for the appellant says that even ignoring the order
of punishment of stoppage of one increment, the plaintiff does not
deserve to be promoted and he had been considered in August,
1991, November, 1992 and September, 1993 and, therefore,
direction to consider the plaintiff for promotion is not justified.*

Admitted.

Stay operation of the impugned judgment.

5.8.2003”

2. The above-said interim order is continuing till date and has

**RSA-3822-2000****-2-**

not been vacated. None had appeared on behalf of respondent No.1 on the last date of hearing. Today also, no one has appeared on behalf of respondent No.1 to state as to whether any cause survives in the present appeal or not.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant, in view of the above, has submitted that the present appeal be disposed of but liberty be granted to the appellant to revive the same in case, respondent No.1 files an application for execution or approaches the office of the present appellant to seek the benefit of the impugned judgment and decree.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present appeal is disposed of. Liberty is granted to the appellant to revive the same in case, respondent No.1 files for execution or approaches the office of the appellant seeking the benefit of the impugned judgment and decree. Liberty is also granted to respondent No.1 to revive the case in case any cause survives.

5. Registry is directed to send the copy of the present order to respondent No.1 on the address mentioned in the memo of parties.

November 13, 2025
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No