



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(212)

RSA-3621-2000 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 15.09.2025

Luxmi Bai and another

...Appellants

Versus

Punjab Wakf Board

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Ishant Bansal, Advocate for
Mr. Navin Chowdhri, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Jai Bhagwan, Advocate for the respondent.

Amarinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

1. Vakalatnama on behalf of the respondent has been filed in Court today. The same is taken on record.
2. As per office report, appellants are not residing at the given address. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that he is not in touch with the appellants, hence, the present appeal may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to revive the same in case the appellants are still interested in pursuing the present appeal.
3. Ordered accordingly.
4. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

September 15, 2025

kanchan

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No