



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

148

CRWP-2678-2025
Decided on : 19.03.2025

Amanpreet Kaur and another . . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Mohit Kakkar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are tabulated as under:-

	Petitioner No. 1	Petitioner No. 2
Name(s)	Amanpreet Kaur	Rohit Kumar
Age (in years)	About 20 years	About 24 years
Date of marriage	17.03.2025	
Rite	Hindu Rites	
Represented on	17.03.2025	
Submitted to	Superintendent of Police, Dabwali	
Family members arrayed as	Respondents No.4 to 7	

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. It is



the first marriage of both the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 17.03.2025 (Annexure P-5), to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Dabwali, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

5. At this stage, Mr. Jatinder Pal Singh Sidhu, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of respondents No.4 to 7 (parents and other relatives of petitioner No.1) and files his *Vakalatnama* in Court, which is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Office to tag the same at appropriate place.

6. Counsel for respondents No.4 to 7 submits that parents of petitioner No.1 wish to meet her once to talk peacefully and make her understand.

7. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Dabwali, to look into the representation dated 17.03.2025 (Annexure P-5), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

8. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to



have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

9. In case parents of petitioner No.1 wishes to meet their daughter (petitioner No.1), respondent No.2 could examine the situation and only after ensuring the maintenance of peace, may grant opportunity as per his/her own wisdom.

10. **Disposed of.**

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

March 19, 2025

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*