



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

389

CRM-M-15152-2025

Date of decision: 21.05.2025

Ramanpreet Singh Oberoi @ Raman Preet and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAULPresent : Mr. Vinay Kumar Pandey, Advocate (through VC)
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kamaljeet Saraf, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.0003 dated 03.01.2024 under Sections 323, 34, 342 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Sector 50, Gurugram, District Gurugram, and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 01.03.2025 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 20.03.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate on 08.04.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurugram, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the



CRM-M-15152-2025

-2-

parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurugram and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

21.05.2025

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No