



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**
323

CRM-M-15623-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.04.2024

Akashdeep Singh and others
.....Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab and another
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. A.S. Sabharwal, Advocate
for Mr. Jatin Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Nitesh Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Pankaj Bains, Advocate
for Ms. B. Prabha Bansal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking quashing of FIR No.385 dated 01.10.2023 registered under Sections 323, 324, 148, 149 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Sohana, District S.A.S. Nagar, and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 12.03.2025.

2. The following order was passed on 21.03.2025:-

“XX XX XX XX

Notice of motion for 23.04.2025.



At this stage, on asking of the Court, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 – State and Ms. B. Prabha Bansal, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and files Vakalatnama, which is taken on record. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 23.04.2025.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63*** and Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052***, this petition is allowed and FIR No.385 dated



01.10.2023 registered under Sections 323, 324, 148, 149 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Sohana, District S.A.S. Nagar and all other subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

24.04.2025
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No