



120

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-1746-2025

Date of decision: 26.03.2025

Ram Kumar (since deceased) through his LRs

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Pawan Kumar and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Sajan, Advocate for
Mr. R.K. Girdhwal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) prays for an adjournment. No adjournment slip has been filed.
2. Accordingly, the present revision petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner(s) to revive the same when the petitioner(s) is ready to argue the matter.
3. In the application for revival, the petitioner(s) would also explain as to how the petitioner(s) is challenging the order (Annexure P-6) when as per the said order, the objection filed by one Sandeep, Sanjeet and Pardeep has been dismissed and not those of the petitioner(s). In the prayer clause, it has been stated that warrants of possession have been issued whereas a perusal of Annexure P-6 would show that no warrants of possession have been issued by the said order whereas the warrants of possession have been issued as per the order dated 15.02.2025 which is Annexure P-7 which order has not been challenged in the present revision petition.

26.03.2025*Pawan*

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No**Whether reportable:- Yes/No**