



210 (2 cases)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:- 27.08.2025

(1)

CRM-M-15501-2025

Pyar Chand Rana

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

(2)

CRM-M-15502-2025

Raj Kumari

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Vinod Pundir, Advocate for the petitioner(s) in both cases.

Mr. Jasjit Singh Rattu, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Jyotika Behl, Advocate for respondent No. 2 in both cases.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Both aforementioned petitions have arisen out of same FIR, therefore, same are taken up together for disposal.

2. Petitioners Pyar Chand Rana and Raj Kumari have filed separate petitions under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in Complaint case No. COMI-425/2017 dated 31.10.2017 titled “Priyanka Katoch Vs. Amit Rana & Ors.” under Section 498-A, 406 of IPC, in which both petitioners were granted interim relief by the Coordinate Bench vide order dated 21.03.2025.

**CRM-M-15501-2025 and another connected matter****-2-**

Operative part of the order runs as under :-

“xxx xxx xxx

In view of the aforesaid factual position as so stated by learned counsel for the petitioners and undertaking given by him as aforesaid, the petitioners shall now surrender and appear before learned trial Court on the date already fixed i.e. 01.04.2025.

Till the time both the petitioners appear and surrender, no coercive steps shall be taken against them.

In the event of surrendering and appearing before learned trial Court, they shall be admitted on interim bail.

xxx xxx xxx”

3. Today, learned counsel representing petitioners placed on record copy of order dated 01.04.2025 vide which in compliance to aforesaid order both petitioners have surrendered before the trial Court and released on interim bail as detailed therein. It is pointed out that both petitioners have put their appearance before the trial Court, therefore, interim bail granted in their favour may kindly be confirmed.

4. Learned counsel representing respondent No. 2 has not disputed aforesaid factual position.

5. In view of this, it is clear that matter has been compromised between the parties. Both petitioners have already appeared before the trial Court. Therefore, interim bail already granted to both petitioners by Coordinate Bench vide order dated 21.03.2025 stands confirmed and petition filed by them is, accordingly, disposed of.

CRM-M-15501-2025 and another connected matter

-3-

6. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, in both cases, shall also stands disposed of accordingly.
7. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case mentioned above.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

27.08.2025
lalit

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No