



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

220

CRM-M-15190-2025
Date of decision: 14.07.2025

TINKU

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.. Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL**Present:-** Mr. Gourav Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Chhina, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. Grewal, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS 2023 seeking regular bail in FIR No.241 dated 28.08.2024 under Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, 109(2), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 (Section 61 of BNS, 2023 added later on) registered at Police Station City Ratia, District Fatehabad.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and the petitioner has allegedly been named by the complainant in his supplementary statement. He further contends that neither he was present on the spot nor was involved in the present case in any manner. He further states that the petitioner was arrested on 31.08.2024.

3. Learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the grant of concession of regular bail by way of filing of custody certificate dated 12.07.2025 and further states that the petitioner is in custody for the last 10



months and 09 days. He further submits that only 01 out of 37 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the material placed on record.

5. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, and the fact that the petitioner is in custody for the last 10 months and 09 days and also, only 01 out 37 prosecution witnesses has been examined, the conclusion of the trial is likely to take a long time and as such, further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Therefore, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is granted concession of regular bail in the present case, on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/ Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned. The pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

7. It is however, made clear that in case during his bail, the petitioner indulges in any offence, the State shall be at liberty to file an application for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

14th July, 2025

Sonia Puri

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No