



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(208)

FAO-329-2000(O&M)
Date of Decision:-02.12.2025

Balraj

.....Appellant

Versus

Mehtab Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate,
for the respondent.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. As per the report of the Registry, notice issued to the appellant received back served. Despite opportunities, no steps have been taken by the appellant to pursue the present appeal. The appellant remained unrepresented on the last date of hearing as well as even today, no one has put in appearance on his behalf.
2. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal.
3. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed in default for want of prosecution.
4. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to seek revival of the appeal in case anything worthwhile survives for consideration.
5. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

02.12.2025
Shubham

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No