



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(205)

RSA-3206-2000 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-23.09.2025

MAM RAJ (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH HER LRS AND OTHERS

... Appellants

Versus

SHAKUR

... Respondent

-.-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for the respondent.


VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Today, the case has been called twice but no one has put in appearance on behalf of the appellants.

2. As per the office report, notices was issued to appellants. Appellant No.1(i) is reported to be dead. Appellants No.1(ii), (iii) and (v) served through brother but none has appeared on their behalf. Appellant No.1(iv) is duly served but none has appeared on his behalf. No one has appeared on behalf of appellant No.1(vi) despite service. Same was the situation for quite some time. The appeal is pertaining to the year 2000. It seems that appellants are not interested in pursuing the present appeal.

3. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the appeal on the docket, indefinitely.

4. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellants to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.



5. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands disposed of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

23.09.2025
S. Pathania

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No