



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**
313

CRM-M-15706-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.04.2024

Vikas @ Vikasdeep Singh and another
.....Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab and others
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Sukhwinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Nitesh Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Balram Prasher, Advocate
for Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking quashing of FIR No.96 dated 07.06.2024 under Sections 326/324/323/148/149 of IPC registered at Police Station City Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 04.07.2024.

2. The following order was passed on 24.03.2025:-

“XX XX XX XX

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that initially four persons were nominated as an accused in the present case, out of which, two have been faced trial and



acquitted and now only present petitioners are the surviving accused.

Notice of motion for 24.04.2025.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Balram Prasher, Advocate for Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate accepts notice for respondents No.2 & 3 and files his power of attorney and admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466 and Shakuntala Sawhney***



(Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63 and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.96 dated 07.06.2024 under Sections 326/324/323/148/149 of IPC registered at Police Station City Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Sri Muktsar Sahib and all other subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

24.04.2025
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No