2025:PHHC:031210

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

205 **RSA-3091-2000** (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.03.2025

LACHHMAN SINGH

.... Appellant

V/S

PIARA SINGH AND ORS.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Rahul Bansal, Advocate for the appellant.

None for the respondents.

***

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Counsel for the appellant states that despite registered letter, appellant has not contacted his office. A specific statement has been made that he does not have any instructions.

2. It seems that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the appeal.

3. Dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

4. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to seek revival of the appeal, in case, anything worthwhile survives for consideration.

05.03.2025 **(SUVIR SEHGAL)**
pooja saini **JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	*Yes/No*
Whether Reportable	*Yes/No*

Pooja Saini
2025.03.06 17:44
I attest the integrity and
accuracy of this order/judgment