

2025:PHHC:079734



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

124

CRR-788-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.07.2025

Jagroop Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Aggarwal, Advocate and
Mr. Ojas Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present revision petition has been filed against the judgment of conviction and order on quantum of sentence, both dated 19.09.2018, passed by the Court of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Pehowa in case titled as ***State vs. Jagroop Singh and others***, arising out of FIR No. 131 dated 03.05.2013, registered under Sections 148, 323, 324 and 326 read with Section 149 of the IPC at Police Station Pehowa, whereby, while acquitting the co-accused, the petitioners were held guilty for commission of offences punishable under Sections 323, 324 and 326 read with Section 34 of IPC and were sentenced to undergo simple imprisonment for maximum 03 years with fine; as well as against the judgment dated 27.02.2025, passed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra, whereby the aforesaid judgment of conviction passed by the learned trial Court was upheld and the appeal was dismissed.

2025:PHHC:079734



2. Today, learned counsel for the petitioners has made a statement so as not to press the present revision against the judgment of conviction, passed by the learned trial Court, as well as the judgment passed by the learned appellate Court. Learned counsel confines his prayer against the order of sentence only. It is further submitted that the petitioners are not the previous convicts nor any other case is pending against them and so looking into these circumstances, the sentence of the petitioners may be reduced to the period already undergone by him.

3. Learned State Counsel has no serious objection to the aforesaid prayer. He has filed custody certificates, as per which, petitioner Jagroop Singh has already undergone actual sentence of 06 months and 27 days, whereas petitioner Subhash has undergone actual sentence of 05 months and 11 days, out of total sentence of 03 years as awarded by the learned trial Court and upheld by the learned appellate Court and they are not involved in any other case.

4. After hearing the counsel for the parties, I uphold the judgments of conviction passed by the Courts below as the same are based on appreciation of prosecution evidence, proving guilt of the petitioners, however, considering the fact that the petitioners have faced the agony of protracted trial and they have already undergone aforementioned sentence and are not involved in any other case, which shows that they have improved their character and have joined the mainstream of the society, the order on quantum of sentence dated 19.09.2018 is modified to the extent that the same is reduced to the period already undergone by them. However, the fine imposed upon the petitioners is upheld. The petition stands disposed of.

2025:PHHC:079734



5. The petitioners are directed to be released from custody forthwith, if not required in any other case, on depositing fine as imposed by the learned trial Court.
6. Since the main petition stands disposed of, pending application, if any, shall also be treated as disposed of.

07.07.2025

Waseem Ansari

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No