

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:045525-DB



126

**CWP-7824-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.04.2025.**

Anita

...Petitioner.

Versus

Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development
Corporation Ltd. and another

...Respondents.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR**

Present: Mr. Arpandee Narula, Advocate and
Mr. Piyush Mittal, Advocate and
Ms. Upasna Gandhi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana with
Ms. Saanvi Singla, Advocate
for the respondent-State.

SUDHIR SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petition herein is for issuance of a Writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to participate in the allotment process of the industrial plots under the Oustee Quota Scheme (R & R Policy-2010 dated 09.11.2010, Annexure P2) and a further prayer for directing the respondents to consider the application dated 23.02.2021 (Annexure P3) along with the application in the prescribed Form No.6 dated 03.03.2025 (Annexure P4).

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the pending representation dated 23.02.2021 (Annexure P-3) is decided by respondent No.2, which has not been adverted to by the respondents, till date.

3. On the other hand, on advance service of copy of petition, learned State counsel appears and opposes issuance of notice of motion. He submits that grievance of the petitioner will be looked into by respondent No.2 and it shall take decision either way, on pending representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner.

4. Given the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek reply by any of the respondents as no further proceedings and/or pleadings are required.

5. Without commenting on merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider pending representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner and pass an appropriate reasoned order, in accordance with law, preferably within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of copy/ production of this order. If required, respondent No.2 shall give a personal hearing to the petitioners.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUDHIR SINGH)
JUDGE

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

03.04.2025
Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No