



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-19849-2002 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.05.2025

PEPSU ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION PATIALA
... PETITIONER
VS.
PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT U.T., CHANDIGARH &
ANR.
.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that the present petition has become infructuous as the workman was reinstated and he was given the benefits and unfortunately died in service.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though he has no such instructions but keeping in view the fact that learned counsel for respondent No.2-workman has made a statement at bar, the same may kindly be accepted with liberty to agitate by filing an application in case, the same is found incorrect.

3. No further orders are required to be passed keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for respondent No.2-workman.

4. Disposed of.

21.05.2025
smriti
(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No