



CRM-M-15535-2025 and other connected cases

1

210 (4 cases)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15535-2025

Balvir SinghPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CRM-M-17422-2025

Paramjit Kaur and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CRM-M-30067-2025

Gurpreet Singh and othersPetitioners

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CRM-M-17736-2025

Nirbhai SinghPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

Decided on : 01.12.2025

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner(s)(in CRM-M-15535-2025 & CRM-M-17422-2025).
Mr. N.S. Chahal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) (in CRM-M-30067-2025).

**CRM-M-15535-2025 and other connected cases****2**

Mr. Nitin Sherwal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) (in CRM-M-17736-2025).

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Karan Singla, Advocate and
Ms. Malvi Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the complainant(s).

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. This common order shall dispose of CRM-M-15535-2025, CRM-M-17422-2025, CRM-M-30067-2025 and CRM-M-17736-2025.

2. On 10.07.2025, the following order was passed:

“(i) At the outset, learned State counsel has filed the status report dated 09.07.2025 (in CRM-M-30067-2025) in Court today, which is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Office to tag the same at appropriate place.

Copy thereof has been handed over to the opposite counsel.

(ii) Counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that he has instructions to state that the petitioners are ready to satisfy the complainant(s) by paying back the amount as per their satisfaction.

(iii) To check the bona fides of the petitioner(s), hearing in all the petitions is deferred to 18.08.2025.

It is, however, made clear that if the petitioners are really interested in settling the dispute, then on the next date fixed before this Court, they will come present along with demand draft of Rs.8.00 lakhs.

Only till the next date, the interim protection shall remain continue.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has come present alongwith demand

**CRM-M-15535-2025 and other connected cases****3**

draft of Rs. 1,00,000/- only, saying that this amount is qua the share of the petitioner Balvir Singh (in CRM-M-15535-2025).

4. It is also submitted by learned counsel for the complainant that none of the petitioner has intention to pay back the amount, despite having been accepted, an amount of more than Rs. 30 lacs in their bank account.

5. In view of the above circumstances and backing out of the promise after being expressing before this Court and recorded to pay atleast Rs. 8.00 lacs today, this Court finds that petitioner(s) do not deserve equitable relief, which is discretionary form also.

6. Accordingly, the petitions i.e. CRM-M-15535-2025, CRM-M-17422-2025, CRM-M-30067-2025 and CRM-M-17736-2025 for grant of anticipatory bail to all the accused are dismissed.

December 01, 2025

reena

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO