



201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15576-2025

Date of Decision:- 16.07.2025

SAGAR GARG

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Deepak Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioner has filed instant 2nd petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.34 dated 20.04.2023 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 406/498-A of IPC registered at Police Station Dirba, District Sangrur as compromise deed dated 08.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) has been entered into between the parties.

2. As per facts of case, complainant Neetu Singla filed detailed complaint against her husband Sagar Garg and other members of in-laws' family that she got married with Sagar Garg on 27.08.2017. Out of this wedlock, she gave birth to a son, who is around 4 years of age. Complainant has given detail of cash amount, gold ornaments and other articles given at the time of Shagun and marriage ceremony. Her father had given furniture, AC, Fridge, utensils, beddings, clothes etc. Soon after marriage, she was ill-



treated in matrimonial home for bringing less dowry. She was continuously insulted and harassed on account of their demand for gold jewellery and Innova car. Matter was settled in Panchayat. Her parents transferred Rs. 7 lacs in the sheller of accused persons. She has given detail of other amounts given in cash to her husband from time to time. Panchayats were convened but there was no change in the behaviour of her husband and in-laws' family and ultimately present FIR was registered.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner argued that at present circumstances have changed. Matter has been compromised between the parties. Copy of compromise deed dated 08.03.2025 is Annexure P-4. Earlier his anticipatory bail application was declined by this Court vide order dated 17.02.2025 passed in CRM-M-22747-2023 (Annexure P-3). Now, parties have also approached this Court seeking quashing of FIR by way of CRM-M-15990-2025 and they have also filed joint petition under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act. Petitioner is ready to abide by the terms and conditions of bail order. Therefore, his anticipatory bail application may be allowed.

4. Notice was issued to complainant/respondent No.2. Nobody has put appearance despite service.

Status report has been filed. Learned counsel representing State confirmed that the matter has been compromised vide compromise deed dated 08.03.2025 (Annexure P-4).

5. I have considered the aforesaid factual position. FIR was result of matrimonial dispute between husband and wife. As referred above, matter



has been compromised and they have already approached this Court seeking quashing of FIR in CRM-M-15990-2025 and also filed petition under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act. No purpose would be served by sending him behind the bars. Considering the aforesaid factual position, anticipatory bail application filed by petitioner is allowed. He be not arrested. In case of his arrest, he be released on bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer concerned, subject to condition that petitioner will join investigation as and when required. He will not tamper with or interfere with investigation and will not leave country without prior permission as provided under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

=-

16.07.2025

snd

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No