

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-2081-2023

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL.

Present: Mr. Anand Chhibar, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Nikita Garg, Advocate and Mr. Sahil Shehrawat, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Aparna Jain, Advocate for the respondent
(through Hybrid mode).

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

1. Challenge in the present revision petition is to the order dated 22.02.2023 (Annexure P-9) vide which in a suit filed by the respondent-plaintiff, issues were framed without deciding the application filed under Section 124 of the Trade Marks Act, 1999.

2. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioners submits that the said application stands dismissed vide order dated 14.09.2023 and the petitioners have challenged the said order in separate proceedings. He submits that under the circumstances, the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous and the same be disposed of as such.

3. In view of the statement given by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present revision petition is ***disposed of*** as having been rendered infructuous.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

13.01.2025
Prince Chawla

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No