



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.210

RSA-2327-2000

Date of Decision: 30.10.2025

M/S MULKH RAJ ARUN KUMAR

...Appellant

Versus

THE CHAIRMAN, STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD AND
ORS.Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANDEEP PANNU

Present:- Mr. G.S. Jaswal, Advocate
for the appellant.

Ms. Pushpanjali Bisht, Advocate for
Mr. T.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the respondents.

MANDEEP PANNU, J. (Oral)

On the last date of hearing, learned counsel for the appellant submitted that he had not received any instructions from his client– appellant, whereupon notice was ordered to be issued to the appellant. As per the office noting, the notice issued to the appellant has been received back with a report that the sole appellant has expired.

At this stage, learned counsel for the appellant submits that he has no instructions in this regard.

In view of the statement so made, the present appeal is hereby dismissed for want of prosecution.

All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

30.10.2025
Anu

(MANDEEP PANNU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No