



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

218

CRWP-2594-2025

Date of decision: 19.09.2025

Amandeep

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ravi Malhotra, Advocate
for respondents No.4 and 5.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J.(ORAL)

1. This Habeous Corpus petition has been filed by one Amandeep, aged about 39 years, w/o Didar, resident of Village Mianwal Araian, Jalandhar, claiming to be a social worker of the area, alleging therein that Detenue-Manpreet Kaur, aged about 33 years, daughter of respondents No.4 and 5 is being pressurized by them to get married to a person of their choice. When Manpreet Kaur refused, she was locked inside the house. Thus, the petitioner claims that being adult, Manpreet Kaur could not have been kept in captivity and cannot be forced to get married by any person not of her choice.

2. As can be inferred from the previous proceedings, notice was issued to the respondents including the parents of Detenue-Manpreet Kaur, who are impleaded as respondents No.4 and 5. In the status report filed by the State, specific mention has been made to the statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. (183 of BNSS) of the Detenue, wherein she had stated that she had earlier been married to one Ajay Kumar and after she broke the



ties with him, she has been residing with her parents. It was further stated by her that all the grievances with her parents had been sorted out.

3. On the previous date of hearing, since the counsel for the petitioner was not available, the petition was adjourned for today i.e. 19.09.2025. Even today, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

Further, it has been pointed out by learned State counsel that Manpreet Kaur has again left the parental home and a missing report has been lodged by her father.

Reply of respondents No.4 and 5 mentioning these facts have also been placed on record.

4. In view of the reasons mentioned hereinabove, nothing survives in the present petition and the same is hereby dismissed.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

19.09.2025

Hemant

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No