



137

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-14773-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.03.2025**

Ravi Kumar

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. L.K.Gollen, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Kumar Banku, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)**CRM-12869-2025**

1. Prayer in the application is for placing on record all the zimin orders passed by the trial Court after framing of charge as Annexure P-10.

2. Application is allowed as prayed for subject to all just exceptions.

Annexure P-10 is taken on record.

CRM-M-14773-2025

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 528 of BNSS with a prayer to quash the order dated 04.03.2025 (Annexure P-8), passed by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Jind in case FIR No.904, dated 14.11.2015 under Sections 120-B, 467, 471, 201 of IPC, Sections 7, 8 and 13(i)(C) of Prevention of Corruption Act & Section 66 of IT Act, registered at Police Station Jind City, whereby, the application for grant of exemption from personal appearance was ordered to be dismissed and the bail of the petitioner was cancelled and bail bonds were ordered to be forfeited to State.



2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR in the present case was ordered to be registered on 14.11.2015 and thereafter, the petitioner was ordered to be released on bail on 19.01.2016. He further contends that the petitioner was regularly appearing before the trial Court and only on few dates, he could not appear before the trial Court. However, he was represented by learned counsel and the applications for exemption from personal appearance were duly made before the trial Court. Even on 04.03.2025, the petitioner had gone to Khattu Shyam Temple and could not appear before the trial Court.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner at length and perused various zimni orders passed by the trial Court w.e.f. 02.06.2022.

4. From the perusal of the various zimni orders passed by the trial Court, it is apparent that in the last three years, all the accused in the present case have made concerted efforts to delay the trial proceedings. On each and every date of hearing, different accused have moved applications for exemption from personal appearance on most frivolous ground, which were initially allowed by the trial Court. However, later on, the trial Court had taken a very strict view in the matter and has ordered that no further exemption applications shall be allowed by the Court. Still, various accused moved applications only with the sole intention to delay the trial before the trial Court. Thus, it is apparent that the present petitioner does not deserve any leniency in the present case.

5. Even, the trial Court is directed not to entertain the applications for exemption from personal appearance, which are being filed by all the accused



in routine, without any justifiable reasons. Further, the trial Court is directed to expedite the trial against the accused in the present case.

6. In view of the above discussion, the present petition is meritless and is ordered to be dismissed.

7. In case, the petitioner surrenders before the trial Court within a period of one week from today, his bail application shall be decided by the trial Court, expeditiously, in accordance with law.

27.03.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No