



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RSA-2193-2000

Date of decision : 15.09.2025

State of Haryana and another

... Appellants

Versus

Tarlok Singh Bindra

... Respondent


CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Raj Partap Singh Brar, AAG, Haryana
for the appellant.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. The respondent-plaintiff had filed a suit for granting a decree of declaration that he had rendered the services in the department as Food Grains Supervisor w.e.f. 10.05.1951 to 17.08.1953 prior to his reappointment as Inspector, Food and Supplies which is to be counted towards pensionary benefits. The suit was dismissed by the trial Court and the appeal filed by the respondent-plaintiff was allowed by the Ist Appellate Court and accordingly, the suit was allowed and the State was directed to recalculate the pensionary benefits in the light of the judgment.

2. A perusal of the paper book would show that there is no stay order in favour of the State. On the last date, none has appeared on behalf of the respondent. Even today, none has appeared on behalf of the respondent. Apparently, the respondent has retired.



3. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that the present appeal be disposed of but liberty be granted to the appellants to revive the present appeal if any cause still survives.
4. In view of the above, the present appeal is disposed of at this stage with the aforesaid liberty.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

September 15, 2025.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No