



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

312

CRWP-2938-2024
Date of Decision: 28.11.2025

ANIL TYAGI

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Balram Singh, Additional P.P. U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. Vivek Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (ORAL)

1. Reply already filed on behalf of the respondent No.4-State be tagged at the appropriate place.
2. Custody certificate has been filed by learned State counsel today, and a copy thereof has been furnished to counsel opposite.
3. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present petition.
4. Consequently, the instant petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

November 28, 2025

Nisha-1

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No