



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

204

CRM-M-15012-2025

Date of decision: 13.08.2025

NARINDER KAUR

...PETITIONER

V/s

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Sardavinder Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner (Through VC).

Mr. Jaypreet Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Jasvinder Singh Nagla, Advocate for the respondent No.2.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No. 239 dated 21.10.2024, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 of IPC and Section 24 of the Immigration Act at Police Station Samrala, District Khanna, Punjab.

2. On 19.03.2025, the following order was passed:-

“Contends, inter alia, that matter has been amicably settled between the parties i.e. petitioner as well as de facto complainant/respondent No.2-Rupinder Kaur.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, learned AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1; seeks time to have instructions and/or to file written response in the matter.

Posted for 02.05.2025.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer; but she be not arrested till the next date of hearing.

To be heard along with CRM-M-13015-2025 (P-4)”



3. Learned State counsel, on instruction, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 19.03.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. In view of above, the present petition is allowed and interim order dated 19.03.2025 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

13.08.2025

jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No