



231

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14940-2025

Date of Decision:01.04.2025

ARMANJOT SINGH ALIAS KALA AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. H.P. Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Aminder Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to them in a case DDR No.20 dated 09.08.2024 (Anexure P-2) under Sections 126(2), 118(1), 115(2), 351(2), 351(3), 191(3), 190, 324(4) of B.N.S (Section 118(2) of B.N.S added later on), registered at Police Station Sherpur, District Sangrur as a cross version to the FIR No. 58, dated 07.08.2024, under Sections 109, 115(2), 351(2), 191(3), 190 of B.N.S and 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sherpur, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1).

2. At the very-out, learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the prayer made by learned



counsel for the petitioner No.1 on the ground that petitioner No.1 is a habitual offender and is involved in one more FIR No.31 dated 04.03.2025, under Sections 329(3), 115(2), 126(2), 351(2), 191(3), 190 BNS, P.S. Dehlon, District Ludhiana after the registration of the present case.

3. Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the petition qua the petitioner No.1.

4. Dismissed as not pressed qua petitioner No.1.

5. Learned counsel for petitioner No.2 contends that in fact the complainant party in the present case was the real aggressor and one FIR No. 58, dated 07.08.2024, under Sections 109, 115(2), 351(2), 191(3), 190 of B.N.S and 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sherpur, District Sangrur was got registered by Amritpal Singh against the present complainant side. Later on, after a delay of two days, a cross version in the shape of G.D. No.20 dated 09.08.2024 (Anexure P-2) under Sections 126(2), 118(1), 115(2), 351(2), 351(3), 191(3), 190, 324(4) of B.N.S (Section 118(2) of B.N.S added later on), registered at Police Station Sherpur, District Sangrur was ordered to be registered on the basis of the statement made by Inderjit Singh against the present petitioner and other accused. Thus, it is a case of version and cross-version and the question of aggressor is yet to be adjudicated by the trial Court during the course of trial. He further contends that even as per the case of the prosecution, the petitioner was armed with a *tangli* and had caused one injury to Amarjit Singh and another injury to Harinderpreet Singh. He submits that injury suffered by Amarjit Singh has been declared to be grievous in nature. He further contends that in the present case even four persons on the side of the petitioners



have also suffered serious injuries on their person, including gun shot injuries and the petition deserves to be allowed by this Court.

6. On the other hand, learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and the petition deserves to be dismissed by this Court.

7. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. In the present case, it is an admitted fact that four persons on the side of the petitioners have also suffered serious injuries on their person. Even Amritpal Singh and Jasvir Singh are stated to have suffered gun shot injuries. Apart from that, it is a case of version and cross version and the prosecution is yet to lead evidence with regard to the real aggressor in the present case. Apart from that, co-accused Gursharanjit Singh @ Bittu has been admitted to concession of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 19.03.2025.

9. Thus, without commenting any further, the petition is allowed and the petitioner No.2 is granted concession of anticipatory bail, subject to the conditions as provided under Sections 482 (2) of B.N.S.S. It will be open for the Investigating Officer to call the petitioner to join the investigation, if so required, by issuing a written notice in this regard and they shall abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

01.04.2025

vipin

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No