



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-15114-2025
Date of decision: 02.07.2025

HARPREET SINGH & ANR.Petitioners
Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Tushar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Durgesh Garg, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate for respondent No.2.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 24.02.2025 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
181	02.09.2019	406, 420, 120-B IPC read with Section 110/24 of Immigration Act & 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals Regulation Act.	Rama Mandi, Jalandhar.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise dated 24.02.2025, which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties.



Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, vide report dated 12.06.2025, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. In compliance to the order dated 27.05.2025, an amount of Rs.10000/- has been deposited with the Poor Patients Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh and receipt thereof is annexed with the report.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In these circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 181 dated 02.09.2019, under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC read with Section 110/24 of Immigration Act & 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals Regulation Act, registered at Police Station Rama Mandi, District Jalandhar (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

02.07.2025

puneet

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No