

2025:PHHC:038244



132

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-1663-2025

Date of decision: 20.03.2025

Amritpal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

M/s Solitaire Cinema

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present: Mr. A.S. Narang, Advocate and  
Mr. Manjot Singh Tiwana, Advocate and  
Ms. Manpreet Kaur, Advocate and  
Ms. Ishita Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

1. This is a revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the order dated 21.02.2025 (Annexure P-27) passed by the Rent Controller, Batala, vide which the Court had issued notice in the third amendment application.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he would be limiting his prayer in the present revision petition for expeditious and time bound disposal of the main case. It is further submitted that the eviction petition was filed in the year 2020 and the respondent-tenant by filing one application after the other is wanting to delay the proceedings. It is submitted that the respondent-tenant has now filed third application for amendment to further delay the proceedings.
3. A perusal of the paper book would show that on 06.09.2023, the Appellate Authority had observed that the Rent Controller would proceed with the matter as expeditiously as possible and would take care that the respondent-tenant is not permitted to cause unnecessary delay in the proceedings. In view of the same, no separate orders are required to be passed.
4. This Court is sanguine that the Rent Controller would comply with the order dated 06.09.2023 passed by the Appellate Authority.
5. In view of what has been observed above, the present revision petition is disposed of.

**20.03.2025**

*Pawan*

**(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No  
Whether reportable:- Yes/No**