



231

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CR-1012-2021 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision : 28.03.2025**

Rohtash

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Suresh Chand Wig &amp; Ors

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN**

Present : Mr. Vijay Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Varun Singh Dhanda, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Suneel Ranga, DAG Haryana.

**ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral)**

1. The present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India challenging the order dated 15.04.2021 (Annexure P-6) whereby a direction was given to attach the salary of the petitioner as well as certain other observations were made regarding the conduct of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that during the pendency of the present petition an affidavit was filed by the Financial Commissioner Revenue-cum-Additional Chief Secretary to Government of Haryana, Revenue and Disaster Management Department in compliance of the order dated 03.05.2021 wherein the stand taken by the petitioner was found to be correct inasmuch as it was stated by the Officer that web-HALRIS verifies and matches the facts stated in the document of transfer of property with the necessary enclosures such as copy of title deed, copy of

jamabandi, mutation and map/plan etc. and in the present case the system (web-HALRIS) was not in a position to accept the concerned document for registration as Shri Rati Ram, Shri Dal Chand and Shri Mam Chand sons of Shri Rattan Lal son of Shri Saling Ram and Smt. Sukhwanti, Smt. Rajwanti daughters of Shri Rattan Lal son of Shri Saling Ram were not recorded as owners as per the record at the time of registration of the land situated in village Saidpur, Tehsil and District Palwal. The learned counsel for the petitioner has further pointed out that in the affidavit it was also stated that the deponent had directed the District Collector-cum-Registrar, Palwal vide letter dated 29.07.2021 to permit the Sub-Registrar for manual registration of the sale deed which has since been registered on 25.09.2022.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 is not in a position to deny that the sale deed has since been executed manually.

4. In view of the affidavit filed by the Financial Commissioner Revenue-cum-Additional Chief Secretary to Government of Haryana, Revenue and Disaster Management Department, the petitioner cannot be held to be at fault for non-registration of the sale deed at the relevant time. Accordingly, the impugned order dated 15.04.2021 is set aside.

5. Disposed off accordingly. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

28.03.2025  
Yogesh Sharma

**( ALKA SARIN )**  
**JUDGE**

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking  
Whether reportable: YES/NO