



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-2702-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.03.2025

Sakshi and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Kamal Jindal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. R.S. Dhull, Advocate for respondents No.6 and 8.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

CRM-W-376-2025

Application is allowed as prayed for.

CRWP-2702-2025

1. Counsel for the petitioners inter alia submits that earlier also the petitioners were granted protection by this Court vide order Annexure P-5 dated 03.03.2025 wherein necessary direction was given to SSP UT Chandigarh to ensure the safety of the petitioners. It is further submitted that even after the passing of the said order, the parents and other relatives of petitioner No.1 who are residents of District Jind are extending threats to cause physical harm to the petitioners, both of whom are major and performed marriage on 14.02.2025. That the matter is also reported to the police vide representation Annexure P-4 dated 10.03.2025 but the police officials failed to take any action in this regard.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Karan Garg, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State and submits that concerned authority will look into the matter and



take decision on the representation of the petitioners in accordance with law at the earliest.

4. Mr. R.S. Dhull, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.6 and 8 and submits that the private respondents including respondents No.6 and 8 have never raised any objection to the marriage of the petitioners which is stated to be performed on 14.02.2025. It is further submitted that respondents No.6 and 8 have never extended any such threats and even in future they will not do so. Even respondents No.6 and 8 who have appeared in person made statement that they are having no objection to the marriage of the petitioners and that they never gave any such threats as alleged by the petitioners.

5. Faced with this situation, counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are still apprehending threat to their lives and liberty from the hands of private respondents including respondents No.6 and 8.

6. In view of above, without going into the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with direction to Senior Superintendent of Police, Jind to consider representation dated 10.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) and to take action if so warranted in accordance with law.

7. However, any observations made in this order will not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners. In case the petitioners have committed any offence, law will take its own course.

21.03.2025

Yogesh

**(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned:-
Whether reportable:-**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**