425 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

****

CWP-7315-2004 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.11.2025

Baljot Singh Rathore ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Randeep Singh Gill, Advocate for
Mr. Nitish Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Jindal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Arjun Pratap, Advocate for respondent No.3.

****

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that he has no contact with his client.

2. Learned counsel representing respondent No.3 submits that respondent No.3 has retired on attaining the age of superannuation, thus, petition has rendered infructuous.

3. ***Dismissed*** as having been rendered infructuous.

4. The petitioner is at liberty to move an appropriate application within three months from today, if cause survives.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

28.11.2025
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No

PRINCE CHAWLA
2025.11.28 16:31
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document